

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

VINCENT EDWARDS WILLIAMS,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:06cv002-WHA
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

This cause is now before the court on Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal, which the court construes to contain a motion for certificate of appealability and a motion to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis*.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) provides that "[a]n appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." In making this determination as to good faith, a court must use an objective standard, such as whether the appeal is "frivolous," *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445, 82 S.Ct. 917, 921 (1962), or "has no substantive merit." *United States v. Bottoson*, 644 F.2d 1174, 1176 (5th Cir. Unit B May 15, 1981) (per curiam) *cert. denied*, 454 U.S. 903, 102 S.Ct. 411 (1981); *see also Rudolph v. Allen*, 666 F.2d 519, 520 (11th Cir. 1982) (per curiam), *cert. denied*, 457 U.S. 1122, 102 S.Ct. 2938 (1982); *Morris v. Ross*, 663 F.2d 1032 (11th Cir. 1981), *cert. denied*, 456 U.S. 1010, 102 S.Ct. 2303 (1982). Applying this standard, this court is of the opinion, for the reasons stated in the recommendation of the magistrate judge, that the Plaintiff's appeal is without a legal or factual basis and, accordingly, is frivolous and not taken in good faith. *See, e.g., Rudolph v. Allen*,

supra; *Brown v. Pena*, 441 F.Supp. 1382 (S.D. Fla. 1977), *aff'd without opinion*, 589 F.2d 1113 (5th Cir. 1979).

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

1. Plaintiff's motion for certificate of appealability is DENIED.
2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* is DENIED.
3. The appeal in this cause is hereby certified, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), as not taken in good faith.

DONE this 13th day of April, 2006.

/s/ W. Harold Albritton
W. HAROLD ALBRITTON
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE